UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/670,563	09/26/2003	Gerhardt Kumpe	06478.1494	8137
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			EXAMINER	
			ROOKE, AGNES BEATA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			1656	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/25/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/670,563	KUMPE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov	er sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>14 March 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN	CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day a application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an a application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The periods:	mendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final	rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MO Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	(2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In NTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petit have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the cunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutor set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	orresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee y period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 C filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (3 Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time per AMENDMENTS	7 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the c	late of filing a brief, will not be entered because
(a) $oxtimes$ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and	
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for app appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	eal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding n NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	umber of finally rejected claims.
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attache	d Notice of Non Compliant Amendment (PTOL 324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	d Notice of Nort-Compliant Amendment (FTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if subm</li> </ol>	ttad in a congrete, timely filed amendment canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	_
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)  will not be e how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or a The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 10-13,15 and 19-24. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-9 and 16-18.	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reas was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of App entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> reje showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not ex	ections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	f the claims after entry is below or attached.
<ul> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT plansee Continuation Sheet.</li> </ul>	ce the application in condition for allowance because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Pa 13. ☑ Other: <u>See point 11.</u> .	aper No(s)
	n Cochrane Carlson, Ph.D./ y Examiner, Art Unit 1656

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Examiner reviewed Applicants arguments wherein the Applicants state that the reference of Heimburger uses a glycine concentration that is greater than 110 g/l and the instant claim 10, as amended, refers to a value that is less than or equal to 110 g/l. However, the claims are not in condition for allowance for the reasons set forth below:

Applicants amended claim 10 to state "wherein the concentration of amino acid used in the precipitation is less than or equal to 110 g/l." This phrase would constitute a new matter according to the 35 USC 112, first paragraph, since no support for such phrase or the claimed numerical value is presented in the specification, as for example on page 7, 3rd paragraph.

Further, the phrase "less than or equal to 110 g/l" is indefinite, since the numerical values claimed are "less than 110 g/l" thus could be represented by a value of zero i.e. "0 g/l", which is less than 110 g/l/, for example. Thus, claim 10 would be rejected under the 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite..